

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

201)

Crl. Misc.No.M-39538 of 2019

Date of Decision: 29.11.2019

Ankush Garg

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr.Surinder Singh, AAG, Haryana.

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (ORAL)

Today, with the turn of the case having come up after 4 P.M., learned counsel for the petitioner is not present but learned State counsel has filed in Court an affidavit of Dr.Arpit Jain, DCP, Head Quarters, Faridabad, which is ordered to be taken on record. A copy thereof be sent by the office of AG Haryana, to the counsel for the petitioner.

Mr.Surinder Singh has pointed out from paragraph 6 of the affidavit that infact the petitioner's custodial interrogation is not required by the police. That being so, this petition is disposed of with the order dated 17.09.2019, granting interim bail to the petitioner, made absolute on the same terms and conditions.

However, the aforesaid order will not be treated to be an observation of this court on the merits of the case, but only in view of the fact that the investigating agency itself has stated that it does not require custodial interrogation of the petitioner.

Naturally, the complainant would be at liberty to avail of his
remedy as regards any grievance, including the investigation process.

29.11.2019
Meenu/Anjal

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes
Whether reportable: No