

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-39789-2019

Date of Decision:20.11.2019

Manna @ Maninder Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr.S.K.Sinha, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr.SPS Tinna, Addl. Advocate General, Punjab.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J.

This is second bail petition filed by the same petitioner. The first one was dismissed on merits on 09.05.2018 by a detailed order.

Petitioner prays for bail pending trial in FIR No.22 dated 13.03.2017 registered under Sections 304/34 of the Indian Penal Code at Police Station, Ramdass, District Amritsar.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Sukhdev Singh, has submitted that trial of the case has made substantial progress and prosecution has already examined 10 witnesses out of total 18 witnesses proposed to be examined. He has further informed the Court that only police officials remain to be examined.

On the other hand, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that police officials are not coming forward resulting in delay of trial, although, their warrants of arrest have been issued.

Keeping in view the facts of the case, this Court is not inclined to grant concession of bail to the petitioner at this stage particularly when trial of the case has already made substantial progress. However, the Senior

Superintendent of Police (Rural), Amritsar, is directed to ensure presence of the police officials on the next date of hearing i.e. 02.12.2019 or thereafter whenever they are called. If the police officials do not come present, the Senior Superintendent of Police would intimate this Court the reasons for their absence and the action he proposes to take against them. The learned trial court is requested to conclude the trial within four months.

Disposed of.

20.11.2019
mks

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No