IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.26257 of 2019
Date of Decision: September 17, 2019

Brij Mohan

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & another

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL**

Present: Mr. Anurag Goyal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

*****

**AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)**

Learned counsel contends that the petitioner, at this stage, would be satisfied if a direction is issued to respondent No.2 to consider and decide the legal notice dated 30.10.2017 (Annexure P-10) in the light of the judgment Annexure P-9, within some specified time.

In view of the statement made by the counsel for the petitioner and without going into the merit of the case or commenting thereon, the present writ petition is disposed of with directions to respondent No.2 to decide the legal notice dated 30.10.2017 (Annexure P-10) in the light of the judgment Annexure P-9, within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

In case the claim of the petitioner is to be accepted, the consequential benefits, if any, be released to him, in accordance with law and if the claim of the petitioner is not to be accepted, then a well reasoned and speaking order be passed and conveyed to the petitioner forthwith.

It is made clear that in case the legal notice is not decided within two months, there shall be costs of ₹ 25,000/- to be paid to the petitioner.

**September 17, 2019** **( AMIT RAWAL )**
**ramesh** **JUDGE**

**Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No**
**Whether Reportable: Yes/No**

RAMESH KUMAR
2019.09.19 11:21
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document