

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

213

CRM-M-39456-2019

Date of decision : 15.11.2019

Manoj

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.. Respondent

CORAM :HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Vijay Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Kapil Aggarwal, Addl.A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Sunil Saharan, Advocate for the complainant.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

The petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No.460 dated 05.06.2019, under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 and 506 IPC, registered at Police Station City Panipat, District Panipat.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the allegations in the FIR are the result of a monetary dispute between the parties. He also contends that the petitioner is in custody for over five months and now the matter has been settled between the petitioner and the complainant.

Learned counsel appearing for the complainant, upon instructions from the complainant, who is present in-person, states that the matter has indeed been compromised.

Learned State counsel, upon instructions from ASI Shree Krishan, states that although charges have been framed but none of the prosecution witness has been examined.

Heard.

In view of the submissions of the learned counsel for the petitioner especially when the matter has been compromised and the petitioner is in custody for over five months and the conclusion of the trial is likely to take sometime, I deem it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

November 15, 2019

sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No