

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-39728-2019

Date of Decision: 18.10.2019

Omkar Singh

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Anterpreet Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. B.S. Sewak, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the allegation against the petitioner is that he along with his co-accused Shiv Kumar attacked the deceased with the wooden handle of a spade ('Kahi'), with one Satish Kumar having attacked the deceased on his head with an iron rod.

Learned State counsel submits that the petitioner and his co-accused inflicted 17 injuries on the person of the deceased, as a cumulative result of which he died and he therefore does not deserve the concession of bail.

Whereas otherwise I would find absolutely no reason to disagree with learned State counsel, however, since the petitioner is admitted to have been in custody for more than two years now and the fact that the complainant and the eye witness have been examined and with 17 remaining prosecution witnesses still to be examined, without making any comment on the actual merits of the case for or against the petitioner, simply keeping in view the stage of the trial and the period of custody of the petitioner, the petition is allowed. The petitioner shall be

admitted to bail upon his furnishing adequate bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court.

October 18, 2019
nitin/dinesh

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	No.