

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

266

CRM-M-39376-2019(O&M)

Date of decision :06.12.2019

Hari Singh

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Sandeep Verma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner prays for grant of regular bail in a criminal case arising out from FIR No.151, dated 10.06.2019, registered under Sections 420/188/201 IPC, at Police Station Sector 37, Gurugram.

Facts of the prosecution case as noticed by the learned Court of Sessions are extracted as under:-

“3. In brief, the prosecution case is that on 10.6.2019, complainant Leela Ram came present in the police station and moved an application, wherein he has stated that he was an agriculturist. On the acquirement of his land, compensation of Rs.1,65,00,000/- came in his account with Sate Bank of India, Khandsa Road, Sector 10A Branch, Gurugram. Some unknown persons by forgery withdrew Rs.1,37,46,308/- from his account. He has requested that his money may be got returned and the legal action against the culprits may be taken. On the basis of this information, offence under Section 420 IPC was found to be made out. The case was registered. During investigation, statement of witnesses were recorde. Accused Sanjeev Yadav and Hari Singh were arrested after having sufficient evidence. The required mobile Samsung Guru was recovered from the accused Sanjeev and pullanda of the same was prepared and was taken into police possession. Sections 188 and 201 IPC were added.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner

had only sold the SIM to the main accused, who had misused the same.

Petitioner is in custody since 10.07.2019.

Investigations against the petitioner are complete and police report under Section 173 Cr.P.C. has been filed.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Naveen Kumar, has submitted that apart from sale of the SIM wrongly, no other material against the petitioner has been found.

Without commenting on the merits of the case and keeping in view the fact that the petitioner is in custody since 10.07.2019 and the trial of the case is likely to take long time, the petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail subject to furnishing of adequate surety to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

Accordingly the petition is allowed with the aforesaid directions.

December 06, 2019

nt

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)

JUDGE

: Yes/No

: Yes/No