

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(118)

CWP-27395-2019

Date of Decision: September 24, 2019

Pardeep Kumar

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Rajeev Dev Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that though the petitioner has retired on attaining the age of superannuation on 31.03.2019 but all the benefits, for which the petitioner is entitled, have not been released and only a sum of Rs.3 lac has been given to the petitioner and that too on 04.04.2019, without disclosing any details as to under what benefit the said amount has been released to the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner prays that a direction be issued to the respondents to release the pensionary benefits of the petitioner forthwith as there is no impediment in the release of the pensionary benefits of the petitioner as there are no proceedings pending against the petitioner either departmental or before any competent Court of law, which would entitle the respondents to withhold the pensionary benefits.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that for the relief which has been claimed in the present writ petition, the petitioner has submitted a representation dated 01.07.2019 (Annexure P-2) to the respondents, which is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is

issued to the respondents to decide the said representation by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioner for the relief which has been claimed by him in the representation dated 01.07.2019 (Annexure P-2), the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide the representation dated 01.07.2019 (Annexure P-2), within a period of three months from the receipt of certified copy of this order.

In case, it is found that the petitioner is entitled for any monetary benefits after the decision of the representation, the same should also be paid to him within three months thereafter.

September 24, 2019
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No