

CWP-25912-2019(O&M)
Date of decision-13.09.2019

Reetu

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Dalbir Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Vikrant Pamboo, D.A.G., Haryana.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for quashing the action of the respondents in not allowing the petitioner to continue/adjust/join on the post of extension Lecturer/Assistant Professor in her subject (Commerce).

Learned counsel for the petitioner refers to Annexure P-8 (Colly) and states that at this stage, he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.2-Director General, Higher Education, Shiksha Sadan, Panchkula to consider and decide the claim of the petitioner in the light of the policy/guidelines (Annexure P-12 Colly) expeditiously.

Heard.

A complete set of paper book has been handed over to Mr. Vikrant Pamboo, D.A.G., Haryana in the Court today.

In view of the above, without advertng to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Director General, Higher Education, Shiksha Sadan, Panchkula to consider the claim of the petitioner in the light of the policy/guidelines (Annexure P-12) and the judgment passed by this Court in '*Satbir Singh Vs. State of Haryana(P&H)(DB) 2002(2) S.C.T. 354, (Annexure P-11)*' within four weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the judgment. However, in case the competent authority feels that the request made by the petitioner is not admissible, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

13.09.2019

*ps-I***(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)**
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes No

Whether Reportable : Yes No