

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.39439 of 2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 27.11.2019**

Anurag Verma and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present:- Mr. Gurinder Singh Goraya, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. V.G.Jauhar, Sr. DAG, Punjab for the respondent/State.

Mr. Sunny K. Singla, Advocate for the complainant.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J. (Oral)

Present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners in case bearing FIR No.119 dated 14.08.2019, under Sections 379, 447, 427, 511 and 420 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station City-1, Malerkotla, District Sangrur.

This Court, while issuing notice of motion on 17.09.2019, passed the following order:-

“ *Contends that the present FIR is a counterblast to the earlier FIR No.36 dated 28.04.2018. Further contends that in the Civil Suit between the parties, mutation has already been sanctioned regarding the shop in dispute.*

Notice of motion for 08.11.2019.

At this stage, Mr.Sunny K. Singla, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of the complainant and files his power of attorney, which is taken on record.

In the meanwhile, the petitioners are directed to join the investigation before the Investigating Officer. In the event of their arrest, the Arresting Officer would admit them to interim bail till the next date of hearing on their furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to his satisfaction. The petitioners are also directed to abide by all the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. ”

 Contends that in terms of above order, petitioners have joined the investigation.

 Learned State Counsel, on instructions from SI Satwinder, has acknowledged that in terms of order dated 17.09.2019, petitioners have joined the investigation and their custodial interrogation is not required.

 In view of above, interim order dated 17.09.2019 is made absolute subject to the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

 It is also made clear that petitioners shall fully co-operate with the Investigating Officer as and when called for further investigation.

 Disposed off accordingly.

November 27, 2019

Gagan

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No