

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-39211-2019

Date of Decision: 01.11.2019

Ashok Kumar

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Parminder Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Poswal, A.A.G., Haryana.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

The date of hearing in this petition was advanced to today, vide an order passed on 22.10.2019, on the ground that a co-accused of the petitioner, i.e. Amit Kumar, stated to be similarly placed, has already been admitted to bail by this Court (this Bench), vide an order passed on 03.09.2019, on the ground that he having been in custody since 07.05.2018, with no witness examined so far and therefore 19 witnesses still remaining to be examined, he could not be kept in custody indefinitely.

Today, learned State counsel, on instructions, does not deny that the petitioner is similarly placed as Amit Kumar, though he submits that the quantity of contraband recovered from him and his co-accused (*Ganjapati*), being 45 kg, which is well above the commercial quantity of 20 kgs, the petitioner does not deserve the concession of bail.

However, there also being no other criminal case shown to be

registered against the petitioner, with the position being no different as regards the stage of trial, the petition is allowed. The petitioner shall be admitted to bail upon his furnishing adequate bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court.

November 01, 2019

nitin/dinesh

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Yes
No.