

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA,
AT CHANDIGARH

283

CRM-M-39059 of 2019

Date of decision : 07.11.2019

Jatinder Batra

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.. Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present : None for the petitioner.
Mr.V.G.Jauhar, Sr. D.A.G., Punjab.

Mahabir Singh Sindhu, J.

The present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.38 dated 01.08.2019 under Section 22 of the NDPS Act, 1985, registered at Police Station Patara District Jalandhar Rural (Annexure P-1).

On 17.09.2019, this Court has passed the following order:-

“Contends that petitioner has been named on the basis of disclosure made by co-accused, namely, Deepak Puri and Surjit Kailley, from whom, the alleged contraband (450 capsules Make Rimdol-50-Tramadol Hydrochloride Capsules-IP from co-accused Deepak Puri and 150 capsules Make Rimdol-50-Tramadol Hydrochloride Capsules-IP from co-accused Surjit Kailley) was recovered. Further contends that there is no other criminal case pending against the petitioner.

Learned State Counsel wishes to verify the pendency of the criminal cases against the petitioner.

Adjourned to 07.11.2019 for further consideration.

In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation before the Investigating Officer. In the event of his arrest, the Arresting Officer would admit him to interim bail till the next date of hearing in the present case on his furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to his satisfaction. The petitioner is also directed to abide by all the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI-Sukhdev Raj

has apprised this Court that in compliance of the order dated 17.09.2019, the petitioner has joined the investigation and his further custodial interrogation is not required.

In view of the above, the order dated 17.09.2019 granting interim bail to the petitioner, is made absolute subject to the provisions of Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

However, it is made clear that the petitioner shall fully cooperate with the Investigating Officer as and when called for further investigation.

The above observations may not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

The petition stands disposed off.

[MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU]
JUDGE

07.11.2019
sd