

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

CWP No.26138 of 2019

Date of Decision: 17.09.2019

Sh. Deegh Ram

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

....Respondents

**CORAM :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL**

Present:- Mr. Narender Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner has approached this Court by way of filing the present petition seeking direction to the respondents for quashing of the notification No. LAC(F)2002/NTLA/95 issued under Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 dated 17.04.2002 (Annexure P-2), declaration under Section 6 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, issued vide notification No. LAC(F)-NTLA/2003/157 dated 10.04.2003 as well as award dated 25.06.2004 in view of judgments passed in ***Reshma Footwear vs. State of Haryana (CWP No. 2308 of 2004)*** Annexure P-4, ***Girdhari Lal and others vs. Union of India (CWP No.17412 of 2004)*** Annexure P-7 and ***HUDA vs. Reshma Footwear (SLP(C) No.10857-10878/2011)*** Annexure P-8.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that similarly situated persons filed CWP No. 9082 of 2014 and the said petition was disposed of vide order dated 21.02.2018 wherein direction was issued to the respondent-State to consider the claim of the petitioners for release of their

respective property in view the judgments referred here-in-above. Learned counsel also submits that the petitioner would be satisfied in case same directions are issued by this Court to dispose of his representation dated 20.05.2019 (Annexure P-11).

Keeping in view the limited prayer, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to consider the claim of the petitioner for release of his respective property in view of the judgments as mentioned above and necessary action be taken on his representation dated 20.05.2019 (Annexure P-11) within a period of four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. It is made clear that till the decision of the representation of the petitioner, status quo as it exists today shall be maintained.

In case, the personal appearance of the petitioner is required, the same be given to him.

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

17.09.2019
gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No