

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

LPA No. 1208 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 31.10.2019

Dalip Singh and others

.. Appellants

vs

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

Coram: **Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rajiv Sharma,
 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Harinder Singh Sidhu**

Present Mr. Gurminder Singh, Senior Advocate with
 Mr. Pankaj Midha, Advocate, for the appellants
 in LPA Nos. 1208, 1480 and 1578 of 2016.

Mr. Anil Kumar Sharma, Advocate, for the appellants
in LPA Nos. 1678 and 1810 of 2016.

Mr. R. K. Vashishtha, Advocate for
Mr. G. S. Bajwa, Advocate, for the appellants
in LPA No. 1210 of 2016.

Mr. Ravi Verma, Advocate, for the appellants
in LPA Nos. 1267, 1268 and 1394 of 2016.

Mr. Prateek, Advocate for
Mr. Ram Niwas Sharma, Advocate, for the appellants
in LPA Nos. 1481 and 1483 of 2016.

Mr. Samarth Sagar, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.
Mr. Vikas Chatrath, Advocate, for respondent nos. 32, 35, 57,
76, 83, 88, 105, 112, 145 and 148 in LPA No. 1210 of 2016.
Mr. Lalit Rishi, Advocate, for respondent nos. 43, 50, 54, 77,
116, 120, 130, 132, 138, 141, 143, 146 and 147
in LPA No. 1210 of 2016.

Mr. Dharam Pal, Advocate for
Mr. Vivek Khatri, Advocate, for private respondents
in LPA No. 1210 of 2016.

Mr. Amit Rao, Advocate for
Mr. Anurag Goyal, Advocate, for respondent nos. 60, 71, 80,
90, 100, 109, 139 and 140 in LPA No. 1210 of 2016.

Mr. Ashish Kumar, Advocate, for private respondents.

Rajiv Sharma, J.

This order will dispose of sixteen appeals bearing LPA Nos.
1208, 1210, 1267, 1268, 1394, 1480, 1481, 1483, 1535, 1578, 1635, 1665,
1678, 1715, 1810 and 2025 of 2016, as common questions of law and facts
are involved therein.

Learned counsel for the appellants prays for and are permitted to withdraw the appeals with liberty reserved to the appellants to file review applications against the judgment dated 22.12.2015 rendered in CWP No. 4301 of 2013 and analogous matters. The limitation will not come in the way of the appellants since they were pursuing their case before this Court under Section 14 of the Limitation Act.

We take judicial notice of the fact that one week time would be required for filing review application, the interim order is extended by one week.

(Rajiv Sharma)
Judge

31.10.2019

vs

(Harinder Singh Sidhu)
Judge

Whether speaking/ reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No