

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

SMRITI
2017.09.23 09:40
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

CWP-26066-2019

Date of Decision: 16.09.2019

Chandigarh Administration and Others

... Petitioners

vs.

Sangeeta Sharma and Others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present : Mr. Sanjiv Ghai, Advocate with
Ms. Sukhmani Patwalia, Advocate, for the petitioners.

ARUN MONGA, J.(ORAL)

Petitioners are aggrieved qua the impugned order dated 13.03.2019 (Annexure P-4) passed by respondent No.6 whereby, an application filed by respondents No. 1 to 3 seeking condonation of delay of 203 days in filing the appeal before the Educational Tribunal has been allowed.

2. Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioners, I find no ground to interfere in extraordinary writ jurisdiction vested under Article 226 of Constitution of India. Even though, there may be some substance in the argument that ignorance of law cannot be the ground to seek condonation of delay of 203 days. But there is much more to it, owing to which delay in entertaining the appeal has been rightly condoned. Reference may be had to the detailed reasons stated in para Nos. 2 to 5 of the application seeking condonation of delay, contained at Annexure P-1 herein.

In the premise, the writ petition is dismissed being devoid of any merit.

16.09.2019

smriti

(ARUN MONGA)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No