IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-25774 of 2019
Date of decision: 13.09.2019

Panni Lal Kataria .... Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others .... Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Mohinder Pal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

***

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. .

Counsel for the petitioner argues that while passing the impugned order dated 05.09.2018 (Annexure P/7) keeping in view the direction given by this Court in CWP No.18006 of 2018 decided on 24.07.2018, the respondents have mentioned that petitioner is entitled for revised computation of the pension to the tune of Rs.10,28,830/-, whereas, only a sum of Rs.8,13,748/- has been deposited by the respondents. Counsel further argues that this discrepancy has been brought to the notice of respondent No.4-Superintending Engineer by the petitioner by submitting legal notice dated 31.03.2019 (Annexure P/8), which is still pending consideration and petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to respondent No.4 to decide the said legal notice.

In view of the request made, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case or the claim being made by the petitioner, respondent No.4 is directed to decide the legal notice dated 31.03.2019 (Annexure P/8)

AARTI SHARMA
2019.09.16 16:38
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

by passing a speaking order within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

Present writ petition stands disposed of.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
13.09.2019 **JUDGE**
aarti

1. Whether speaking/non-speaking? Yes/No
2. Whether reportable? Yes/No

AARTI SHARMA
2019.09.16 16:38
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document