

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 9811-2018 (O&M)
Date of decision: 27.02.2019**

Vijay Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KRISHNA MURARI,
CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI, JUDGE**

Present: Mr. Onkar Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Monica Chhibber Sharma, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Sandeep S. Majithia, Advocate, for
Mr. A.S.Jaura, Advocate,
for respondent No.4.

KRISHNA MURARI, CHIEF JUSTICE (Oral)

By means of this writ petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, challenge has been made to the order dated 9.4.2018, rejecting the technical bid of the petitioner being non-responsive.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the order rejecting the technical bid of the petitioner is de hors of any reasons and thus, not liable to sustain. Any amount of explanation in the counter affidavit/written statement cannot be read into, in view of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Mohinder Singh Gill and another Vs. Chief Election Commissioner, New Delhi and others, (1978) 1 SCC 405.**

CWP No. 9811-2018 (O&M)

-2-

Though we find substance in the argument advanced by learned counsel for the petitioner, but no effective relief can be granted to the petitioner in view of the fact that the period of the tender is coming to an end on 15th March, 2019. Thus, for all practical purposes, this petition is rendered infructuous due to efflux of time and the same accordingly stands disposed of, as such.

(KRISHNA MURARI)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

February 27, 2019

AK Sharma

Whether speaking / reasoned: YES

Whether Reportable: NO