

(121) **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CM No.17791 & 17793 of 2019 in/and
CWP No.25453 of 2019 (O&M)

Date of decision : 26.11.2019

Sushil Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

HPSC

.... Respondent

Before: Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia.

Present: Mr. Anurag Goel, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. H.N. Mehtani, Advocate with
Mr. Kanwal Goyal, Advocate for the respondent.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Applications are for pre-ponement of the date of hearing from 15.01.2020 to today as also for the issuance of directions to the respondent / Commission to declare the result of the petitioner of the interview conducted for the post of HCS (Executive) in the Physically Handicapped (Hard of Hearing Category), of Register A-II.

[2] Notice of the applications to the learned counsel for the non-applicant.

[3] Shri H.N. Mehtani, learned counsel along with Shri Kanwal Goyal, learned counsel accept notice on behalf of the respondent / Commission and state that they have no objection to the prayer for pre-ponement of the date of hearing from 15.01.2020 to today as also for issuance of directions to the Commission to declare the result of one post of

HCS (Executive) reserved for Physically Handicapped (Hard of Hearing Category) of Register A-II.

[4] In view of the statement of learned counsel for the parties, the date of hearing is pre-poned from 15.01.2020 to today and the main case is taken up for hearing today itself.

[5] Brief facts of the case leading to the filing of the writ petition are that the petitioner was a candidate who applied against one post of HCS (Executive) reserved for Physically Handicapped (Hard of Hearing Category) of Register A-II. The Commission called three candidates for interview against said single vacancy. However, the Commission detected that the candidates had furnished incorrect information with regard to their being hearing handicapped, consequently were not eligible to be considered for appointment against said single vacancy. Therefore, the candidature of aforesaid three candidates bearing roll Nos.20892, 21762 and 23191 was rejected, they were not interviewed and the Government was informed to take appropriate action against said candidates for having furnished incorrect information.

[6] In the meantime, the petitioner filed the instant writ petition for being interviewed against the single post of HCS (Executive) reserved for Physically Handicapped (Hard of Hearing Category) of Register A-II and was interviewed by the Commission along with two other candidates for said vacancy. Prayer is that in the circumstances the respondent/Commission be directed to declare the result of interview of said post of HCS (Executive) reserved for Physically Handicapped (Hard of Hearing Category) of Register

[7] Learned counsel for the respondent/Commission state that they have no objection if the writ petition is disposed of by directing the respondent/Commission to declare the result of one post of HCS (Executive) reserved for Physically Handicapped (Hard of Hearing Category) of Register A-II within such time as is granted by this Court and to take such further action in the matter as may be warranted in the facts and circumstances of the case.

[8] The same satisfies learned counsel for the petitioner.

[9] In the light of the position as noted above as well as the statement of learned counsel for the parties, the writ petition is disposed of by directing the respondent/Commission to declare the result of one post of HCS (Executive) reserved for Physically Handicapped (Hard of Hearing Category) of Register A-II as expeditiously as possible and to take such further action in the matter as may be warranted in the facts and circumstances of the case. Consequently, all accompanying applications also stand disposed of.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

26.11.2019
amit

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No.
2. Whether reportable : Yes/No.