

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 9499-2018 (O&M)
Date of decision: 27.02.2019**

Jaswinder Pal

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KRISHNA MURARI,
CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI, JUDGE**

Present: Mr. Onkar Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Monica Chhibber Sharma, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Mr. J.S. Dhaliwal, Advocate, for respondent No.6.

KRISHNA MURARI, CHIEF JUSTICE (Oral)

Having heard learned counsel for the parties, we find some substance in what the petitioner is alleging that his technical bid was held to be non responsive without any justifiable reasons. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the order rejecting the technical bid of the petitioner is de hors of any reason and the reasons for rejection supplied in the counter affidavit/written statement are not liable to be read inasmuch as the law enjoins the authority to record reasons in the order itself, as held by the Hon'ble Apex Court in **Mohinder Singh Gill and another Vs. Chief Election Commissioner, New Delhi and others, (1978) 1 SCC 405**. However, the contract was for a period of one year only, which is ending on 15th March, 2019. Thus, for all practical purposes, this petition is rendered infructuous due to efflux of time and the same accordingly stands disposed of, as such.

**(KRISHNA MURARI)
CHIEF JUSTICE**

**(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE**

February 27, 2019 Whether speaking / reasoned: YES
AK Sharma Whether Reportable: NO