

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

LPA-1610-2014(O&M)

Date of Decision: 18.01.2019

Iqbal Singh

..... Appellant

versus

Superintending Canal Officer and others

..... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DR. SHEKHER DHAWAN**

Present: Mr.Gautam Kaile, Advocate for the applicant-appellant.
None for the non-applicant-respondents.

MAHESH GROVER, J.(ORAL):

CM-4819-LPA-2018

This is an application for condonation of delay of 698 days in filing the application for restoration of the appeal.

For the reasons recorded in the application, the same is allowed subject to all just exceptions. Delay of 698 days in filing the application for restoration of the appeal is condoned.

CM-235-2019

Allowed as prayed for.

CM-4820-2018 in/and

LPA-1610-2014(O&M)

The appeal had been dismissed for want of prosecution. Along with the application for restoration/recalling of the order dated 28.11.2016. An application i.e. CM No.235 of 2019 has also been filed that the parties have resolved their differences. In view of this the order dated 28.11.2016 is recalled. CM No.4820 of 2018 stands allowed and the appeal is restored to its original number.

The appeal can be disposed of in terms of the settlement. It has been brought to our notice that copies of the application and compromise deed had been provided to the non-applicant but he has chosen not to come to the court. In view of the assertion made before us on affidavit that the parties have resolved their differences in terms of the compromise deed to which all the parties seem to have appended their signatures, we deem it appropriate to dispose of the appeal as per the settlement. Ordered accordingly. In case the assertion of the applicant is found to be incorrect, we make it clear that appropriate proceedings will be initiated against him.

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE

(SHEKHER DHAWAN)
JUDGE

18.01.2019
sunita

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No.