

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

245

ITA No. 140 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 22.10.2019

Pr. Commissioner of Income Tax-2, Chandigarh

... Appellant

Versus

Vinod Malhotra

....Respondent

**CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL**

Present: Mr. Urvashi Dugga, Senior Standing Counsel for the appellant.

Mr. Munish Kapila, Advocate and
Mr. Aman Parti, Advocate for the respondent.

AJAY TEWARI, J.(ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the appellant-revenue states that since the tax effect involved is less than the monetary limit as prescribed in Circular No.3 of 2018 dated 11.07.2018 issued by the Central Board of Direct Taxes, further amended vide Circular No.17 of 2019 dated 08.08.2019 read with Letter No.F.No.279/Misc/M-93/2018-ITJ dated 20.08.2019, he has instructions to withdraw the present appeal. However, he prayed that liberty be granted to the appellant-revenue to file an application for revival of the appeal, in case something survives therein.

2. Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.

3. Since the main case has been dismissed, the pending C.M. application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

22.10.2019
pooja saini

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned?</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable?</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>