

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.25499 of 2019
Date of Decision:11.09.2019**

Ekta Sheoran and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of UT Chandigarh and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present:- Ms. Harshita, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Rajan Bhargava, Advocate for
Mr. Vishal Aggarwal, Advocate for respondent No.4.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA J.(Oral)

Petitioners have filed the instant writ petition seeking a mandamus for directing the official respondents to protect their life and liberty by voicing an apprehension and threat to the same at the hands of private respondents No.4 to 6.

It has been averred in the writ petition that the petitioners have entered into matrimony against the wishes of elders and under such circumstances they fear for their life and liberty.

During the course of hearing today respondent No.4 who is none-other than father of petitioner No.1 has come present and is represented through counsel namely Sh. Rajan Bhargava, Advocate for Mr. Vishal Aggarwal, Advocate. An interaction between petitioner No.1 and her father was facilitated by this Court during the course of the day.

Petitioner No.1 namely Ekta Sheoran who is aged 26 years and is present in Court, is identified through counsel. She has made a categorical statement that she now wishes to go alongwith her father namely Tasbir

Singh Sheoran i.e. respondent No.4.

Under such circumstances, there would be no requirement for passing of any directions in the instant protection petition.

Writ petition disposed of.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

September 11, 2019

shweta

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No