

**124 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-25607-2019(O&M)
Date of decision-12.09.2019**

Sunil Kumar

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Subhash Rana, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Vikrant Pamboo, D.A.G., Haryana.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of mandamus directing the respondent No.1 to 3 to conduct fair enquiry into the matter.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that though the enquiry has been conducted, however, petitioner has never joined the enquiry, therefore, he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.3 to consider and decide representation dated 05.09.2017 (Annexure P-7) expeditiously.

Heard.

A complete set of paper book has been handed over to Mr. Vikrant Pamboo, D.A.G., Haryana in the Court today.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3-

The Deputy Commissioner, Jind to consider and decide representation

dated 05.09.2017 (Annexure P-7) after associating the petitioner, in accordance with law within six weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the judgment. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the request made by the petitioner is admissible, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to him, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the request made by the petitioner is not admissible, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

12.09.2019*ps-I***(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No