

211-2

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.38838 of 2019
Decided on: 09.12.2019

Harpinder Singh @ Bittu

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present : Mr. Sanjay Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Arnav Sood, Advocate for the complainant.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No. 94 dated 01.05.2019, registered under Sections 323, 324, 506, 379, 307, 148, 149 IPC (Section 326 IPC added subsequently) at Police Station Tanda, District Hoshiarpur.

The operative part of the order dated 19.09.2019, vide which interim anticipatory bail has been granted to the petitioner, is reproduced as under:-

“...Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as per the allegations in the FIR, the injury attributed to the petitioner, on complainant Bikram Singh, is with a Datar, however, as per the MLR and opinion of the doctor, the injuries attributed to the petitioner are by a blunt weapon.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the doctor has given opinion that the injury sustained

by the complainant on his head, i.e. injury No.1, was declared simple by the Medical Officer, CHC Tanda....”

Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that, in pursuance to the order dated 19.09.2019, the petitioner has appeared before the Investigating Officer and has joined the investigation.

Counsel for the State assisted by counsel for the complainant and on instructions from ASI Mahesh Kumar, has not disputed the aforesaid fact and submits that the petitioner is no more required for further investigation.

In view of the above, this petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 19.09.2019 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

09.12.2019
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No