

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

221

CRM-M-38847-2019

Date of decision: 18.11.2019

Parveen alias Goni

....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Girish Agnihotri

Present: Mr. Vikas Bishnoi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kapil Aggarwal, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

GIRISH AGNIHOTRI, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No.69 dated 30.04.2019 under Sections 379-A, 34 & 201 of Indian Penal Code and Section 25 of Arms Act, 1959 registered at Police Station Garhi, District Jind.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner was in police custody in an another FIR No.72 dated 03.05.2019 under Section 25 of Arms Act, 1959. It is further contended that the probability of the petitioner at the scene of offence is doubted.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further undertakes that he be granted bail by this Court as he is ready to furnish heavy bail bonds/surety bonds and any other conditions that will be imposed by the trial Court. He

also undertakes that he will give two sureties of one lac each.

On instructions from ASI Suresh Kumar, learned State counsel has not disputed the factual position regarding the custody of the petitioner. It is submitted by learned State counsel that an amount of Rs.52,000/- from the petitioner and another amount of Rs.58,000/- from the co-accused has already been recovered by the police.

Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the paper book.

Keeping in view of the fact that the petitioner is in custody since 06.05.2019, thus, the trial of the case may take long time, no useful purpose will be served by keeping the petitioner in custody.

In view of the totality of the circumstances of the case and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this criminal miscellaneous petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing **heavy** bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

However, this order may not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case pending before learned trial Court.

(GIRISH AGNIHOTRI)
JUDGE

18.11.2019
anju rani

Whether speaking/ reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No