

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.9212 of 2018 (O&M)

Date of decision: 11.01.2019

Renu Rani

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. B.D. Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Nain, AAG, Haryana.

Ritu Bahri, J.

Petitioner was appointed as Computer Teacher on contract basis in the year 2007. She worked till 30.07.2010. Thereafter, she was not called by the contractor or the Education Department. Subsequently, she participated in the written test for the aforesaid post on 26.05.2013, which was conducted by respondent No.6-Shriram New Horizons Limited, UGF, South Wing, NBCC Place, Bhishma Pitamah Marg, New Dehli. Although, she cleared the test, but was not called by the contractor or the Education Department thereafter.

As per reply filed by respondent Nos. 1 and 2, in this examination, the petitioner has got 650th position, securing 43 marks and as per the decision taken and conveyed by the respondent-department, candidate securing minimum 35 marks were eligible for deployment in the school. As per reply filed, total 1167 candidates were able to secure 35 marks and 939 candidates were able to secure above 35 marks.

The examination was conducted in two phases. Firstly, the test

was conducted on 17.02.2013 and the second test was conducted on 26.05.2013. The petitioner had appeared in the second examination, held on 26.05.2013. In the second examination, 939 candidates were able to secure more than 35 marks. Petitioner got 650th position and the last candidate selected was at serial No.649. Hence, the petitioner could not be appointed in the year 2013. Thereafter, the contract of service provider was terminated on 22.03.2015. Thereafter, for short term, the appointments were made on contract basis by some other service provider. It is further clarified that under a scheme namely “Free Computer Education Program” approved and funded by the State Govt., for 1269 Govt. Schools, agreement was signed for providing Computer Education services with M/s Network for Information and Computer Technology (NICT), Indore on 18.08.2010. Tenure of this agreement was completed on 31.03.2013 and the said scheme has ceased to continue from the academic session 2013-14.

Petitioner, at this stage, cannot have any grievance against respondent Nos.4 and 5 as respondent No.4 has not conducted any selection process after 2013-14, in which, petitioner has failed and even the contract of the service provider has been terminated.

No merits.

Dismissed.

11.01.2019
ajp

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No