

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.120

CWP No.25821 of 2019
DECIDED ON: 13.09.2019

SANTOKH SINGH RANDHAWA

..PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS.

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present: Mr. Kshitij Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Ms. Ambika Bedi, AAG, Punjab.

ARUN MONGA, J. (ORAL)

Impugned order clearly states that despite acceptance of the withdrawal of his resignation, the petitioner had absented himself from the duty. His original resignation dated 21.11.2012 was, therefore, accepted w.e.f. 26.09.2012. Accordingly, the petitioner is to be treated as a retired employee w.e.f. 26.09.2012.

2. The prayer of the petitioner for quashing of acceptance of his resignation vide impugned order dated 14/15.11.2017 (Annexure P-8) is declined.

3. Petition is disposed of with direction to respondents to take a decision on his retiral benefits by giving the benefit of instructions dated 12.05.2017 which envisages that in case an employee does not report for a duty for more than a year, it would be construed as a deemed resignation.

4. Let the needful be done within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

5. Disposed of accordingly.

13.09.2019

(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE

Ankur

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No