

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

226)

Crl. Misc. No.M-38539 of 2019(O&M)

Date of Decision: 18.09.2019

Suman Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. Vaibhav Sehgal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. APS Gill, DAG, Punjab

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

By this petition, filed under the provisions of Section 439 of the Cr.P.C., the petitioner seeks the concession of 'regular bail'.

Without making any comment on the actual merits of the case, for or against the petitioner, with it not being denied by the learned State counsel on instructions from the police official who is present in court to assist him, that the petitioner in the present petition has been arraigned as an accused on the basis of secret information, with no other criminal case shown to be registered against him, further seeing that he has been in custody for the past 2 months and 5 days as of today, in the aforesaid circumstances, though learned State counsel submits that one Parkash Pandey identified his mobile phone (stated to have been recovered from the petitioner) in another complaint case (not converted into an FIR), I deem it appropriate to admit the petitioner to bail during the pendency of the trial.

Consequently, this petition is allowed. The petitioner would be enlarged on bail, upon his furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to the

satisfaction of the trial Court.

18.09.2019
vcgarg

(Amol Rattan Singh)
Judge

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes
Whether reportable: no