

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**C.W.P 876 of 2018
Date of decision : 07.03.2019**

Vandna Devi		Petitioner
	versus	
State of Haryana and another		...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Raj Kapoor Malik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Hitesh Pandit, Addl.A.G Haryana

Mr. Harsh Aggarwal, Advocate
for respondent No. 2

RITU BAHRI, J. (Oral)

Petitioner is seeking direction to the respondents not to replace him with another set of contractual employee, as he was appointed after duly approval from respondent No. 1.

At the very outset, learned counsel appearing for respondent No. 2 has informed the Court that after 19.01.2019, newly selected regular candidates have joined the post and no regular post is lying vacant. But respondent No. 2 has not terminated the services of the petitioner and she is still continuing.

In view of the said fact, the petition is dismissed. However, in the near future if post remains vacant, respondent No. 2 shall give preference to the petitioner to work on the post on contractual basis.

March 07, 2019
G Arora

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
No