

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

232

FAO-8011-2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 04.09.2019

SARUP SINGH

... Appellant

Versus

RAJINDER SINGH & ANR

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE REKHA MITTAL

Present : Mr. Amandeep S. Manaise, Advocate for the appellant.

REKHA MITTAL, J. (Oral)

Challenge in the present appeal has been directed against award dated 15.07.2016 passed by the Motor Accidents Claims Tribunal, Gurdaspur whereby compensation has been assessed on account of accidental injury sustained by respondent Rajinder Singh.

The sole submission made by counsel for the appellant is that as the offending vehicle has been sold by the appellant prior to the accident, appellant has wrongly been fastened with liability to pay compensation.

The contention raised by counsel for the appellant is not tenable when examined in the light of judgment of Hon'ble the Supreme Court **Naveen Kumar Vs Vijay Kumar and Ors, 2018(2) Scale 263.**

No other point has been raised.

In view of what has been discussed hereinbefore, finding no merit, the appeal fails and is accordingly dismissed in limine.

04.09.2019

ashok

**(REKHA MITTAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes / No
Yes / No