

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

107

**CRM-M-38607-2019 (O&M)
Date of Order:17.09.2019**

Gursewak Singh

..Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Siddharth Gupta, Advocate, for the petitioner.
 Mr. SPS Tinna, Addl. A.G., Punjab

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

Petitioner prays for grant of pre-arrest bail in FIR No.65, dated 23.08.2019, registered under Section 21 of the NDPS Act (Section 29 of NDPS Act added subsequently), at Police Station Sadar Rampura, District Bathinda.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner was not arrested from the spot and he has been nominated only on the statement of main accused Gurtej Singh and it is debatable as to what would be evidentiary value of such statement?

On the other hand, learned counsel for the State, on instructions from SI Bhupinderjit Singh has submitted that there are as many as 4 telephone calls between the petitioner and the mobile phone recovered from the main accused. It has further been pointed out that there are number of cases against father of the petitioner i.e. Baldev Singh under the NDPS Act.

Hence, no ground to grant the concession of pre-arrest bail is made out.

Dismissed.

September 17, 2019
nt

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	: Yes/No
Whether reportable	: Yes/No