

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

215

**FAO-1909-2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 08.03.2019**

Rajni Bala

... Appellant

Versus

Bishnu Kumar and others

...Respondents

**CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL**

Present: None for the appellant.

Mr. Sukhdev Singh, Advocate for the respondents.

RAKESH KUMAR JAIN, J.(ORAL)

The present appeal has arisen from the judgment and decree dated 26.08.2016 passed by Additional Civil Judge (Senior Division), Bathinda vide which application filed under Section 25 of the Guardian and Wards Act 1890 read with Section 6 sub Clause (a) of the Hindu Minority and Guardianship Act, 1956 by the wife for seeking custody of the minor child namely Shivam Kumar was dismissed.

During the pendency of this appeal, matter was referred to the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court where both the parties have entered into a compromise which was reduced into writing on 24.01.2019 and it was decided therein that the custody of the minor child would remain with the respondent-father and the appellant-mother would have the visitation rights.

Counsel for the appellant is not present. It appears that the appellant has lost interest in this case after the said settlement.

Therefore, present appeal is hereby dismissed as having been rendered infructuous in view of the aforesaid settlement. Still liberty is

granted to the appellant to file an appropriate application for revival of this appeal, in case, parties to the settlement resile from it.

(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN)
JUDGE

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

08.03.2019
pooja saini

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned?</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable?</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>