

121

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Date of decision-28.11.2019

1. CRM-M-38547-2019 (O&M)

M/s Fastrack Computing Ltd. and others

...Petitioners

Vs.

M/s Mangla Steels, G.T.Road

...Respondent

2. CRM-M-38928-2019 (O&M)

M/s Fastrack Computing Ltd. and others

...Petitioners

Vs.

M/s Mangla Steels, G.T.Road

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Nakul Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J. (Oral)

CRM-34989-2019

Application is allowed as prayed for.

Annexures P-5 to P-19 are taken on record.

Main case

This judgment will dispose off the above mentioned two petitions i.e. CRM-M-38547-2019, titled as “M/s Fastrack Computing Ltd. and others Vs. M/s Mangla Steels, G.T.Road” and CRM-M-38928-2019, titled as “M/s Fastrack Computing Ltd. and others Vs. M/s Mangla Steels, G.T.Road”. However, the facts are being extracted from CRM-M-38547-

2019.

Petitioners have filed this petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C for quashing of the order dated 24.07.2019 (Annexure P-1) passed by Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Amloh whereby the Court has refused to exhibit the documents produced by the defence witness, namely, Pooja.

During the course of hearing, it is not disputed by learned counsel for the petitioner that witness DW-1 Pooja is employee of the accused, who had deposed before the trial Court that she was acquainted with the hand writing and signatures of the complainant. He submits that on the strength of her testimony, the relevant documents produced by the complainant ought to have been exhibited. According to him, the trial Court fell into error in refusing to exhibit the said documents.

After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court finds that the Court has given the valid reasons for sustaining the objections. Learned counsel for the petitioner is unable to produce anything to show that the relevant witness appeared and was examined before the trial Court to prove the said documents.

In view of the above, this Court does not find any valid reason to invoke the inherent powers under Section 482 Cr.P.C.

Resultantly, both petitions are dismissed.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

28.11.2019

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No