

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.25251 of 2019 (O&M)
Date of decision: 23.09.2019.

Simranjeet Kaur

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

Before: Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia.

Present: Mr. Jasraj Singh, Legal Aid Counsel for the petitioner.

Mr. Pankaj Mulwani, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Deepinder Singh, Advocate for respondent No.7.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral):

CM No.14294-CWP of 2019

Allowed as prayed for. Reply filed on behalf of respondent No.7 is taken on record subject to all just exceptions.

CWP No.25251 of 2019

1. Prayer in the writ petition is for the issuance of a writ of Mandamus directing respondent No.2 to restore the candidature of the petitioner as an admitted student of respondent No.7 school under Rule 134-A of the Haryana School Education Rules, 2003 (as amended upto 2013).

2. Learned DAG as well as learned counsel for respondent No.7 state that the petitioner's grievance has been redressed and she has been admitted in class 5 under Rule 134-A of the Rules, 2003, therefore, the writ petition has become infructuous and may be disposed of as such.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner has apprehension that due to not being allowed to attend classes for a period of close to 2½ months the same may not result in shortage of lectures and consequentially the same be used as an excuse by the respondent-school for not allowing the petitioner to sit in the exam.

4. Learned DAG as well as learned counsel for respondent No.7-school state that the apprehension of the petitioner is without any basis since class 5 examination is conducted internally, besides in the circumstances, the period during which the petitioner could not attend classes would not be taken into account against her.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that in view of the statement of learned DAG as well as respondent No. 7 he does not press the writ petition and the same may be disposed of as having become infructuous .

6. In the light of statement of learned counsel for the parties, the writ petition is disposed of as having become infructuous.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

23.09.2019
rajesh.k.khurana

1. Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No.
2. Whether reportable	:	Yes/No.