

233
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

SANJIV KUMAR SHARMA
2019.11.09 15:46
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

CRM-M-38388-2019
Date of decision : 8.11.2019

Harpreet Singh Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU

Present: Mr. G.S. Sandhu, Advocate
for petitioner.

Ms. Monika Jalota, DAG Punjab.

-.-

-.-

HARINDER SINGH SIDHU, J.

Prayer is for grant of regular bail in case FIR No. 109, dated 6.6.2018, registered under Section 22 of NDPS Act, 1985 at Police Station Lambi, District Sri Muktsar Sahib.

As per allegations in FIR, one car bearing No. DL 4CP 6721 was intercepted. From the boot of car, 70 bottles of Onerex and 950 tablets of Carisoprodol were recovered. The petitioner alongwith Deepak Kumar and Varun Kumar alias Golu was travelling in the car.

The contention of learned counsel for petitioner is that Varun Kumar alias Golu was the owner of the car and he was driving said car. The learned counsel for petitioner states that petitioner had taken lift in said car.

It is not denied that tablets of Carisoprodol do not fall within the ambit of NDPS Act.

Without commenting anything on the merits of case and also bearing in mind the fact that petitioner is in custody since 6.6.2018, in my opinion, no useful purpose will be served by detaining him during trial. The petition, as such, is accepted. Petitioner Harpreet Singh is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

CRM-M-38388-2019

-2-

However, in case petitioner indulges in any activity akin to one for which he has been convicted, while on bail, prosecution agency will be at liberty to seek cancellation of order granting bail to petitioner.

(HARINDER SINGH SIDHU)
JUDGE

8.11.2019

sjks

Whether reasoned order : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No