

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

107

**CRM-M-38184-2019 (O&M)
Date of Order:20.09.2019**

RAVI RATRA

..Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Deepinder Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.
Mr. Vikas Chopra, DAG, Haryana
Mr. Dinesh Arora, Advocate, for the first informant.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

On 13.09.2019, following order was passed:-

“ Reply on behalf of first informant has been filed today in the Court which is taken on record. Copy supplied.

After having heard learned counsel for the parties, it is considered appropriate to direct the petitioner to join investigation.

Adjourned to 20.09.2019.

In the meantime, in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to furnishing personal bonds and surety to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Allegations against the petitioner are to the effect that the petitioner had embezzled the price of 200 auto parts of engine valve in collusion with one Ombir.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Surender Singh, has submitted that the petitioner in this case has joined the investigation, cooperated and and he is not required for further custodial interrogation. However, he submits that the amount is still to be recovered from the petitioner.

On the other hand, learned counsel for the first informant has submitted that more than one invoices were generated with respect to a serial number and therefore, even the amount of GST collected has not been deposited.

Prosecution is required to establish these facts after leading evidence.

At this stage, this Court is only adjudicating upon as to whether petitioner is entitled to relief of pre-arrest bail or not. Petitioner, as noticed above has already joined the investigation and he is not involved in any other case.

In view thereof, interim order dated 13.09.2019 passed by this Court is made absolute.

Accordingly, the present petition is allowed.

September 20, 2019
nt

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No