

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-39474-2019

Date of Decision: 18.09.2019

Surinder Kumar Pandhi

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Naresh Devgan Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Though the State of Punjab has been impleaded through the Senior Superintendent of Police, Police District Batala, which would technically “not be proper”, however, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the SSP, Police District, Batala, to hear the petitioner, who is shown to be 61 years of age, and to take action as may be warranted, as per law, within a period of 15 days from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

If the Senior Superintendent of Police, Police District Batala, finds that there is no truth in the allegations made by the petitioner, he would pass a order giving the reasons as to why the allegations are not found to be correct.

September 18, 2019

nitin

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether Reportable

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)

JUDGE

Yes/No

Yes/No.