

**CM No. 25733-CII-2015 in
FAO-8137-2015**

SUNIL
2020.01.04 14:48
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

Pancha Ram and others

Vs. Surender Kumar and others

Present: Mr. S.K. Bawa, Advocate
for the applicant-appellants.

Ms. Neha Rana, Advocate for
Mr. Virendra Rana, Advocate
for respondent no.1.

None for respondent no.2, despite service.

Mr. S.S. Sidhu, Advocate
for respondent no.3.

CM No. 25733-CII-2015

Heard.

There is a delay of 573 days in filing of this appeal.

For the reasons mentioned in the application, duly supported by an affidavit of the applicant, as well as arguments addressed, it is considered just and expedient to condone the delay of 573 days in filing the appeal rather than dismiss this appeal on technical considerations of delay specifically keeping in view the fact that the claimant has nothing to gain by the delay in filing of this appeal. However, it is made clear that in case of any enhancement of compensation, the claimants shall not be entitled to any interest for the period of delay.

Application is accordingly allowed and delay of 573 days in filing of the appeal is condoned.

FAO-8137-2015

For orders, see FAO No.5163-2016 (Bijender and others Vs. Surender Kumar and others), decided vide separate order of even date.

13.12.2019
Sunil

(Lisa Gill)
Judge