

217-2

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-37918 of 2019

Date of decision: September 25, 2019

Harbans Kaur

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Sukhmeet Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. V.G. Jauhar, Senior DAG Punjab.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J

Present petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short 'Cr. P.C.') for grant of anticipatory bail to petitioner, in FIR No.34 dated 12.06.2018, under Sections 420, 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short 'IPC'), registered at Police Station Samalsar, District Moga.

On 09.09.2019, while issuing notice of motion, this Court has passed the following order:-

“Contends that husband of the petitioner who is alleged to be the beneficiary of the amount of Rs.13.50 lacs has already been arrested and she has no role at all for the execution of the agreement in question.

Notice of motion for 25.09.2019.

In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation before the Investigating Officer. In the event of her arrest, the Arresting Officer would admit her to interim bail till the next date of hearing on her furnishing adequate

bail and surety bonds to his satisfaction. The petitioner is also directed to abide by all the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned State counsel, on instructions from police official present in Court to assist him, has stated that in terms of order dated 09.09.2019, the petitioner has joined investigation and her custodial interrogation is not required.

In view of the above, order dated 09.09.2019 granting interim bail to the petitioner, is made absolute, subject to the provisions of Section 438(2) Cr. P.C.

Petition stands disposed off, accordingly.

However, it is made clear that the petitioner shall fully co-operate with the Investigating Officer as and when called for further investigation.

The above observations may not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

September 25, 2019

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No