

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

228)

Crl. Misc. No.M-37855 of 2019

Date of Decision: 05.12.2019

Khushwant Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr.A.P.S.Gill, DAG, Punjab.

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

Pursuant to the order dated 18.09.2019, the petitioner is stated to have joined investigation, with however, today learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Harjinder Singh, submitting that the weapon in issue having been recovered, his custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required presently.

Though learned counsel for the petitioner is not present, obviously, this petition has been rendered infructuous and is disposed of as such, with the order dated 18.09.2019, granting interim bail to the petitioner, made absolute on the same terms and conditions.

The petition is disposed of accordingly.

However, the aforesaid order will not be treated to be an observation of this court on the merits of the case, but only in view of the fact that the investigating agency itself has stated that it does not require custodial interrogation of the petitioner.

Naturally, the complainant would be at liberty to avail of his remedy as regards any grievance, including the investigation process.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

05.12.2019
Anjal

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes
Whether reportable: No