

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-37852 of 2019

Date of Decision: 24.10.2019

Jarnail Singh @ Raju

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Divjyot Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Harpreet Singh Multani, AAG, Punjab.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.(Oral)

Present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C.
seeking pre arrest bail in case FIR No.120 dated 30.05.2019 under
Sections 420 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 13 of Punjab
Travel Professional Regulation Act, 2013 registered at Police Station
City, Kapurthala.

On 09.09.2019 while issuing notice of motion the
following order was passed by this Court:

“Notice of motion for 03.10.2019.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest, the petitioner be
released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal
bonds and surety to the satisfaction of
Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner
shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do
so and shall abide by the conditions as provided under
Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.”

It is contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that
in terms of the above order, petitioner has already joined
investigation.

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Sukhdev Singh has acknowledged the above factual position and stated that the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is no longer required in the present case.

In view of the above, order dated 09.09.2019 is made absolute subject to the provisions of Section 438(2) Cr. P.C.

Petition stands disposed off.

However, it is made clear that above observations may not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merit of the case pending before learned trial Court.

[MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU]
JUDGE

October 24, 2019

rashmi

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable?

Yes/no
Yes/no