

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.727 of 2018
DATE OF DECISION:14.01.2019

Surinder Paul and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

... Respondents

CWP No.25216 of 2016

Krishan Lal and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

... Respondents

CWP No.25233 of 2016

Harbhajan Kaur and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Aman Dhir, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Mehardeep Singh, Additional Advocate General,
Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (ORAL)

Counsel for the parties are agreed that the abovementioned writ petitions are covered by the decision rendered by this Court in CWP No.21268 of 2015 decided on 10.12.2018. The writ petition No.21268 of 2015 also pertains to the same department and has also interpreted the same Rule 2(k) of Punjab Samiti and Zila Parishad Employees Pension and Provident Fund Rules, 2000. This Court has already held that the total service rendered by an employee is to be taken into account for computing the pensionary benefits and not only for which the Contributory Provident Fund was deducted.

The present writ petitions are allowed in the same terms as CWP No.21268 of 2015 decided on 10.12.2018, titled as **Sukhdev Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and others.**

January 14, 2019
jt

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No