

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

224)

Crl. Misc. No.M-37869 of 2019(O&M)

Date of Decision: 16.09.2019

Sombir Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. R.A. Sheoran, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Neeraj Poswal, AAG, Haryana.

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

By this petition, filed under the provisions of Section 439 of the Cr.P.C., the petitioner seeks the concession of 'regular bail'.

Though learned State counsel on instructions from the police official who is present in Court to assist him, submits that there are two other criminal cases registered against the petitioner, one of them was admittedly for the alleged commission of offences punishable under Sections 304A and 279 of the IPC, in which he was acquitted, with the other criminal case being for an offence punishable under Section 379 of the IPC (not 379 A or B). Considering the above and the fact that the petitioner has been in custody for one year and four months, with only 4 witnesses out of 22 having been examined so far, I deem it appropriate to admit the petitioner to bail during the pendency of the trial.

Consequently, without making any comment on the actual merits of the case, for or against the petitioner, this petition is allowed. The

petitioner would be enlarged on bail, upon his furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

16.09.2019
vcgarg

(Amol Rattan Singh)
Judge

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes
Whether reportable: No