

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-9654-2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision:-08.01.2019.

Rajesh

.....Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present: Mr. Bhupender Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Brijeshwar Singh Kanwar, Standing counsel for
Union of India-respondents.

ARUN MONGA, J. (Oral)

1.) Present writ petition has been filed for issuance of a writ in the nature of *mandamus* directing the respondents to grant equal pay to the petitioner which is being paid to his juniors.

2.) It is contended by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the persons who joined the services along with the petitioner and were junior to him are being given higher salary and, therefore, the petitioner is also entitled to the same benefit along with consequential benefits on the principle of equal pay for equal work. He points out that feeling aggrieved the petitioner had submitted a representation dated 04.11.2015 (Annexure P-4) which was not adverted to and the same was followed up by issuing a legal notice dated 16.08.2016 (Annexure P-5) which too did not yield any

result. He further points out that even though the said legal notice was replied but the contentions raised by the petitioner have not been adequately adverted to.

3.) Learned counsel for the respondents states that the representation of the petitioner would be disposed of by passing a speaking order. In view thereof, respondent No.4 is directed to pass a speaking order keeping in view the contentions of the learned counsel for the petitioner as noted above as also the averments contained in the representation and also the contents of the present writ petition by treating it as a supplementary representation, within a period of 2 months from today.

4.) Disposed of accordingly.

(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE

January 08, 2019.
sandeep

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:-

Yes / No.