

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-37634-2019(O&M)

Date of decision: 29.10.2019

Ravinder Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present:- Mr.S.S.Thakur, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. S.P.S.Tinna, Addl. AG, Punjab

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

On 6.9.2019, following order was passed by this Court:

“Petitioner prays for grant of pre-arrest
bail in FIR No.156 dated 23.07.2019 registered
under Sections 420, 120-B of the Indian Penal
Code and Section 24 of the Immigration Act, at
Police Station Sidhwan Bet, District Ludhaina.

Learned counsel for the petitioner
contends that the petitioner has been falsely
implicated in the case as a counter blast to the civil
suit filed by the petitioner. He further submits that
the petitioner is mere driver of a transport vehicle
working on a meagre salary. He further submits

that in fact the petitioner and co-accused were cheated by Balwinder Singh, Manpreet Singh and Bachittar Singh.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Bhupender Beniwal, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the State of Punjab. A copy of the paper book be handed over to learned State counsel during course of the day.

Adjourned to 29.10.2019.

In the meantime, in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to furnishing personal bonds and surety to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438(2), Cr.P.C.”

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Jaswant Singh does not dispute that petitioner is working as mere driver of a transport vehicle educated upto 5th class. He further submits that the petitioner has joined investigation. However, the passports are yet to be recovered.

On the other hand, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated as he had filed civil suit Annexure P-1 against the first informant as it was the petitioner and another person who wanted to immigrate to Dubai and first informant alongwith certain

other persons have duped him of Rs.50,000/-.

Keeping in view the facts of the case, this Court is of the view
that interim order dated 6.9.2019 is required to be made absolute.

Ordered accordingly.

Petition stands allowed.

29.10. 2019

rekha

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether Reportable

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)

JUDGE

Yes / No

Yes / No