

CWP-9504-2017

Date of decision: 19.012.2019

SANDEEP KUMAR

... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

... Respondents

Before: Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia.

Present: Mr. Aditya Yadav, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Learned counsel for the petitioner states that in view of the subsequent events, the writ petition has become infructuous and may be disposed of as such.

[2] In view of the statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the writ petition is disposed of as having become infructuous.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge19.12.2019
amit

1. Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No.
2. Whether reportable : Yes/No.