

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No. 37930 of 2019
Decided on: 09.12.2019**

Satnam Singh @ Satta

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present : Mr. Sanjay Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Arnav Sood, Advocate for the complainant.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No. 94 dated 01.05.2019, registered under Sections 323, 324, 506, 379, 307, 148, 149 IPC (Section 326 IPC added subsequently) at Police Station Tanda, District Hoshiarpur.

The operative part of the order dated 09.09.2019, vide which interim anticipatory bail has been granted to the petitioner, is reproduced as under:-

“...Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the injury attributed to the petitioner is not corresponding to the MLR of complainant and as per opinion of the doctor, no injury is dangerous to life....”

Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that, in pursuance to the order dated 09.09.2019, the petitioner has appeared before the Investigating Officer and has joined the investigation.

Counsel for the State assisted by counsel for the complainant and on instructions from ASI Mahesh Kumar, has not disputed the aforesaid fact and submits that the petitioner is no more required for further investigation.

In view of the above, this petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 09.09.2019 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

09.12.2019
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No