

**111 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-25273-2019(O&M)
Date of decision-10.09.2019**

Simranjit Kaur

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Puneet Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Vikas Mohan Gupta, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of mandamus directing the respondent No.2 to decide the legal notices/representations dated 19.04.2018 (Annexure P-5) and 02.05.2018 (Annexure P-6).

On oral request made by learned counsel for the petitioner, The Deputy Director, Urban Local Bodies, Punjab is impleaded as respondent No.4 in the present petition. Registry is directed to carry out the necessary amendment in the memo of parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage, he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.4-The Deputy Director, Urban Local Bodies, Punjab to consider decide the legal notice/representation dated 19.04.2018 (Annexure P-5) and 02.05.2018 (Annexure P-6) expeditiously.

Heard.

A complete set of paper book has been handed over to Mr. Vikas Mohan Gupta, Addl. A.G., Punjab, in the Court today.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.4- The Deputy Director, Urban Local Bodies, Punjab to consider decide the legal notice/representation dated 19.04.2018 (Annexure P-5) and 02.05.2018 (Annexure P-6) expeditiously in accordance with law within six weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the judgment. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the request made by the petitioner is admissible, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to her, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the request made by the petitioner is not admissible, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

10.09.2019

ps-I

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes No

Whether Reportable : Yes No