

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 25121 of 2019
Date of Decision:01.11.2019**

Santosh Kumari

.....Petitioner

Versus

The State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present:- Mr. R.K. Bajaj, Advocate for the petitioner.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA J.(Oral)

Challenge in the instant writ petition is to the order dated 12.12.2005 passed by the Deputy Commissioner-cum-Collector, Jalandhar (Annexure P-1) and in terms of which the petitioner had been called upon to deposit a sum of Rs.50,340/- towards deficient stamp duty as also registration fee of Rs.8390/- and interest of Rs.6,460/- (Total Rs.65,190/-) pertaining to sale-deed dated 17.11.2004. The order has been passed under Section 47-A of the Indian Stamp Act.

Pleadings would further indicate that the petitioner had preferred an appeal against the order of the Collector and which has also been dismissed vide order dated 26.09.2006 by the Commissioner, Jalandhar Division, Jalandhar (Annexure P-7).

For the reasons best known to the petitioner, the order passed by the Commissioner at Annexure P-7 is not a subject matter of challenge in the instant writ petition.

Confronted with such a situation counsel seeks withdrawal of the instant writ petition so as to take remedial steps.

As per prayer made by counsel, writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

It is however made clear that in the eventuality of the petitioner invoking the writ jurisdiction of this Court yet again so as to raise a challenge to the Commissioner's order dated 26.09.2006 at Annexure P-7 as well, he would have to explain and justify the inordinate delay that has been occasioned.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

November 01, 2019

shweta

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No