

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-37553-2019

Date of Decision: 06.09.2019

Harpal Singh

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Ankur Mittal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that similarly placed two co-accused have been admitted to anticipatory bail, with one co-accused admitted to regular bail.

Even considering that fact, seeing that the petitioner is alleged to have threatened the complainants and, along with his companions, have beaten them up with iron rods, bamboo sticks etc., with the petitioner specifically named in the FIR, and it is also stated on that there is no injury on the petitioner himself, I see absolutely no reason to entertain this petition, qua the concession of anticipatory bail.

This petition is therefore dismissed.

However, if the petitioner is arrested and he files an application/petition under the provisions of Section 439 of the Cr.P.C., that petition would be decided wholly on its own merits.

September 06, 2019

nitin

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)

JUDGE

Yes
Yes