

209

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-37638 of 2019

Date of decision: November 18, 2019

Jarnail Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Sherry K. Singla, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. H.S. Multani, AAG Punjab.

Mr. Sumit Kalyan, Advocate for the complainant.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J

Present petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short 'Cr. P.C.') for grant of anticipatory bail to petitioner, in FIR No.93 dated 02.07.2019, under Sections 323, 324, 341, 506, 148, 149 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short 'IPC') (Section 326 IPC added later on), registered at Police Station Amargarh, District Sangrur.

On 16.09.2019, this Court has passed the following order:-

“Contends that this is a case of version and cross-version. Further contends that complainant side could not succeed before learned Civil Court for obtaining the interim injunction and reference is made to order dated 15.07.2019 passed by the learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Malerkotla (P-6).

Learned counsel for the complainant seeks time to verify the above factual position.

Adjourned to 18.11.2019.

In the meanwhile, petitioner is directed to join investigation before the Investigating Officer. In the event of his arrest, the Arresting Officer would admit him to interim bail, till the next date of hearing, on his furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to his satisfaction. The petitioner is also directed to abide by all the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) of Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973.”

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Jaswinder Singh, has stated that in terms of order dated 16.09.2019, the petitioner has joined investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required.

In view of the above, order dated 16.09.2019 granting interim bail to the petitioner, is made absolute, subject to the provisions of Section 438(2) Cr. P.C.

Petition stands disposed off, accordingly.

However, it is made clear that the petitioner shall fully co-operate with the Investigating Officer as and when called for further investigation.

The above observations may not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

November 18, 2019

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No